(3)

CFIOCR

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO.2547 OF 2003

PETITIONER : Mrigendra Pratap Singh, son of Rudra Pratap Singh, aged about 19 years, Occupation-Student, resident of Village-Khatola Via Akaltara,Tehsil and District-Janjgir-Champa,(C.G.)

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Department of Technical Education, Mantaralay,D.K.S.Building,Raipur, District-Raipur,(C.G.)

P.R. No. 2449/03
Presented by Shri D. D. Gupta
dated 21.08.03

: 2. Director, Technical Education,Chhattisgarh, Raipur,(C.G.)

: 3. Chairman, Councilling Committee of Technical Education,Womens Polytechnic College Building,Raipur,District Raipur,(C.G.

: 4. Chhattisgarh Board of Secondary Education,through its Seceretary, Raipur,(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.:

(28)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P.No.2547 of

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 2003

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
22.08.03	Shri Prashant Mishra, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, Deputy Advocate General takes notice on behalf of respondents 1 to 3. Heard both the counsel. By this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks a direction against respondents 1 & 2 to allow the petitioner to participate in the counselling for admission in the Engineering course in Government and Private Engineering Colleges in the State of Chhattisgarh. The petitioner's petition is that he appeared in Class- XII examination conducted by the Board of Secondary Education, Chhattisgarh. The results of the examination were declared in the month of April, 2003. However, the petitioner's result was withheld by respondent No.4 and that was declared only on 28th July, 2003. On account of that, the petitioner could not apply for admission to the Engineering College in time. The petitioner could not also apply for counselling in the Engineering Colleges before respondent No.2 because the last date was 07.07.2003 and the result of the petitioner was declared on 28.07.2003.	

Gr.PRJ—FS/350—12/2000—50,000.

(29)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P.No.2547 of

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 2003

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that without fault on behalf of the petitioner, respondent No.4 had unnecessarily not declared the result of the petitioner and on account of that the last date for applying in the Engineering Colleges was expired. Counsel further submits that he made representation to the Chief Minister and the representation has been forwarded to the Education Minister. But the respondents are not allowing the petitioner to participate in the counselling. He further submits that seats are lying vacant and the petitioner is prepared to seek admission even if the admission is granted in any private College. He submits that the petitioner has secured marks higher than the persons who have already been admitted to the Engineering Course. On the other hand, the learned Deputy Advocate General opposed the petition and submitted that the petitioner has not applied within time, therefore, he cannot be allowed to participate in the counselling. He further submitted that the petitioner is seeking direction contrary to the rules and respondents 2 & 3 are not responsible for the delay in any manner. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the	

(30)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -3-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

case, I am of the opinion that as of right, no relief can be granted in this petition. However, it is for the respondents to consider the representation sent by the petitioner and for that purpose the petitioner is at liberty to furnish a copy of the representation to the Office of respondent No.2 and it is for respondents 2 & 3 to decide his representation, if the seats are vacant and if they consider that the petitioner's case is fit, looking to the ground taken by him, then they can allow him to appear in the counseling for admission in the Engineering course, if otherwise, he is eligible for the same.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy today.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

[पीछे देखिये

W 2616
B 2218